

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/792,058 | 03/03/2004 | Karl Frederick Frank | 3068 | 4224 |
| 7590 12/16/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C. | | | MARSH, STEVEN M | |
| Suite 100 2900 Thomas Avenue S. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Minneapolis, MN 55416 | | | 3632 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL FD: 12/16/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Asticus Occurrence | 10/792,058 | FRANK ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Steven M. Marsh | 3632 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M | arch 2004 | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | • | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | • | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. | • | ` ' | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | amilier. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | * ** | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | ite atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | acont application (i 10-102) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first office action for U.S. Application 10/792,058 for a Grip Tip filed on March 3, 2004.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims1-17, drawn to a method of creating a grip tip, classified in class
 248, subclass 694.
- II. Claims 18-21, drawn to a gripping tip, classified in class 248, subclass 188.9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the gripping tip could be made using a sheet of elastic material with a different shape than a rectangle. Also, claims 18-21 claim lamina, while the method claims fail to disclose lamina formed on the gripping tip.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/792,058

Art Unit: 3632

(571) 272-6819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required

for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Steven M. Marsh

December 8, 2005

KIMBERCY WOOD

Page 3